

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/736,749	Applicant(s) SORENSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Jyoti Chawla	Art Unit 1761	

All Participants:

(1) Jyoti Chawla.

(2) Elias Lambiris.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was placed concerning the lack of action in regards to the application and was informed that the applicants do not wish to proceed further and that the application has been abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)